



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/992,236	11/06/2001	Michael J. Muller	LOT9-2001-0012	5384
21127 75	10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
KUDIRKA &			BECKER, S	HAWN M
ONE STATE S' SUITE 800	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	. 02109		2173	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			<i>/</i> /) .
	Application No.	. Applicant(s)	Sh
	09/992,236	MULLER, MICHAEL J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shawn M. Becker	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty any period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	· ·	s
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2002	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		•	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No seceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,769,028 to Sass et al. (hereinafter Sass).

Referring to claims 1, 12, 16-17, 24, and 26, Sass teaches a computer program product, apparatus, program code, and a method for collecting user evaluation feedback in a computer system having a display and capable of generating a user interface through which a user may interact with the system (i.e. Figs. 3-4).

Sass teaches

- (A) displaying on the graphic user interface an evaluation component (i.e. media player) comprising at least one displayed command option (i.e. col. 8, lines 22-33) and a plurality of evaluation feedback options (i.e. smiley icon and frowny icon; col. 9, lines 15 and 22);
- (B) receiving user selection criteria for one of the command option and the evaluation feedback option(s) (col. 9, lines 10-30); and
- (C) initiating execution of a command associated with the displayed command option (i.e. col. 9, lines 25-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/992,236

Art Unit: 2173

As another example, see col. 16, lines 24-33, which describes how the selection of radio stations is used as feedback to determine what stations appeal to a user.

Referring to claims 2, 13, 18, 25, and 27, Sass discloses

(D) recording user selection of one of the plurality of evaluation feedback option. See col. 9, lines 15-19.

Referring to claims 3, 14, and 19, Sass discloses (D) further comprises:

(D1) initiating modification of counter value associated with the selected evaluation feedback option. See col. 9, lines 30-39, which describes collecting (counting) the feedback data for several users.

Referring to claims 4, 15, and 20, Sass discloses (D) further comprises:

(D1) initiating modification of a record value (i.e. within the personal profile) associated with the selected evaluation feedback option. See col. 9, lines 16-21.

Referring to claims 5 and 21, Sass discloses (D) further comprises:

(D2) transmitting the modified record value associated with the selected evaluation feedback option to a database (i.e. the database that stores the personal profiles). See col. 9, lines 16-21 and col. 11, line 65 – col. 12, line 10.

Referring to claims 6 and 22, Sass discloses

(D) initiating execution of a command associated with one of the plurality of the displayed evaluation feedback options (i.e. opening a web page or updating a profile; col. 9, lines 14-30).

Referring to claims 7 and 23, Sass discloses (A) comprises:

Art Unit: 2173

(A1) rendering the evaluation component with a separate user selectable sub region for the displayed command option and a separate user selectable sub region for the plurality of evaluation feedback options. For example, each of the icons described in col. 8, lines 22-33 are command options with a separate selectable sub region than icons 390 (smiley and frowny icons).

Referring to claim 8, Sass discloses that the plurality of evaluation feedback options are rendered as icons. See Fig. 3, 390 and col. 9, lines 15 and 22.

Referring to claim 9, Sass discloses that the evaluation component is rendered a part of an application user interface (media player; Fig. 3, 300).

Referring to claim 10, Sass discloses the evaluation component is rendered as part of a web page. See col. 8, line 54 – col. 9, line 40, which describe the integration of the evaluation icons and web pages.

Referring to claim 11, Sass discloses that the evaluation component is rendered as part of a browser application. See col. 6, line 50 – col. 7, line 4, which describes how the media player is an interface for Internet content.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach methods of providing user feedback evaluation.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,236 Page 5

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn M. Becker whose telephone number is (703) 305-7756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smb

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173